Analysis: First Issue, Stalking

Repeated following, watching, or harassing of a specific person

The incidents complained of include five instances over five weeks of RP being in the
same location as CP. Specifically, [list replicated from above.] As a general matter, RP
indicates that there was good reason for her to be in the locations that had nothing to do
with CP’s presence, essentially that the incidents were a result of coincidence rather than
intent. (Cite to evidence.) The corroborative and contradictory evidence on this point is
summarized as follows:

First incident, date, “Unexplained” presence in CP’s dorm. In CP’s dorm, RP indicated
that she did have friends in the dorm who she had arranged to meet in a common
area near CP’s room. (cite to evidence) When asked to identify these friends, RP
declined to do so. (cite to evidence) When asked the purpose of the meeting with
these unidentified individuals, RP declined to provide detail. (cite to evidence) I
asked RP to identify any witnesses, documents, or other evidence that could
corroborate RP’s in-dorm friendships, or presence on the complained-of date. (Cite
to evidence.) She indicated she could provide those after the interview, and would go
right back to her dorm to collect and send the information to me by e-mail. I did not
receive anything that day. (Cite to evidence.) I followed up by e-mail asking her for
the information on [date 1, date 2, date 3]. I never received a response to my
inquiries. (Cite to evidence.)

Second incident, date, Encounter at Dining Hall. Dining Hall entrances and exits are
monitored by video-camera. (Cite to evidence.) Video evidence from the day in
question shows RP sitting on a bench in an area near Dining Hall’s main entrance.
(Cite to evidence.) CP approaches the entrance with her back toward RP, and does
not appear to look in RP’s direction. (Cite to evidence.) RP stands up as CP enters
the hall, waits for approximately 25 seconds, then enters through the same door.
(Cite to evidence.)